

HALLIBURTON COMPANY

4Q21 Earnings Call Takeaways – Neutral

Quick Take: Neutral. HAL's guidance implies 1Q22 EBITDA at about \$770M, in-line with Street est. We look for color on frac incrementals only at 30% despite pricing. The U.S. industry frac utilization is near 90% with HAL sold out. Strong D&E margins are sustainable outside seasonality in 1Q22. We continue to expect the OIH to struggle near term after a ~30% run up between Dec. 1 and Jan. 14.

1Q22 Outlook

- HAL's guidance implies 1Q22 EBITDA at about \$770M, in-line with Street est.
- C&P revenues and margins to stay flattish q/q as completion tools sales in the GoM declines while the U.S. land frac activity improves
- D&E revenues to decline MSD q/q and margins down flat to 50bps seasonally.
- Corp. Exp. to decrease modestly to ~\$60M; Int. Exp. flat q/q; Tax rate at 23%

2022 Outlook

- HAL specific
 - Capex of \$1B or 5-6% of revenues which implies \$17-20B of revenues vs Street's \$18B est. We think ~\$1B guide is gross capex or before ~\$200M of lost-in-hole.
 - We are unclear on FCF messaging for 2022. HAL talked about cash flow from ops. excluding working capital to grow y/y but working capital will be a use. We expect FCF to be flat/modestly higher y/y as we expect working capital draw of ~\$700M in 2022 assuming net days (DSO+DSI-DP) at flat 88 days vs 86/97/88 days in 2019/20/21.
 - Focused on further de-leveraging the balance sheet and targeting 2x debt/EBITDA which could imply about ~\$1-2B of debt paydown (including \$600M of 2025 notes called) assuming Street's 2022/23 EBITDA est. of \$3.4 and \$4.1B. HAL needs ~\$2B cash on balance sheet for normal operations.
 - Not interested in variable dividend but share buybacks could be reinstated at some point.
 - Margins to grow y/y in both segments. Importantly strong 4Q21 D&E margins are sustainable outside seasonality in 1Q22.
- International
 - Spending should be up mid-teens in 2022 y/y. LAM, ME and Russia should be strong while Africa and Europe growth would be limited to a few markets.
 - Seeing pricing traction on new work and contract renewals including IPM. The company has renegotiated better T&C and introduced pricing escalators though large tenders remain competitive.
 - Relocating assets for higher utilization and returns.
- North America
 - HAL expects U.S. spending to increase at least ~25% y/y in 2022 vs. (~20% earlier, BKR 25-30% and SLB 20%+)

OILFIELD SERVICES & GREEN ENERGY

Vaibhav (Vebs) Vaishnav | Analyst

Coker Palmer Institutional

713-344-0852 (O) / 281-546-0315 (M)

vebs@cokerpalmer.com

- Expect solid net pricing throughout 2022 with frac incrementals ~30%. NAM incrementals were 36% in 2021 despite inflation.
- The U.S. industry frac utilization is near 90% with HAL sold out.
- Secured net pricing increases across drilling, cementing, fluids, drill bits and artificial lift during the tendering season.
- Strategy: HAL continues to make progress on its five-pronged strategy.
 - Profitable growth internationally with capital allocation to the highest return opportunities.
 - Maximize cash flow in structurally smaller NAM.
 - Advance digitalization and automation across all businesses.
 - Capital efficiency at 5-6% of capex/sales ratio.
 - Advance sustainable energy future.

Questions To Ask

- Impact on D&E margins with asset relocation? C&P margins declined 80bps in 4Q despite 10% revenues growth as about ~10% of fleets were relocated.
- What kind of pricing improvements are embedded in ~30% incrementals expected in 2022 for frac? We typically think with pricing incrementals should be 35-40%.
- FCF trajectory for 2022?

Appendix A – Required Disclosures

Analyst Certification

I, Vaibhav (Vebs) Vaishnav, certify that to the best of my knowledge, the views and opinions in our research reports accurately reflect my personal views about the subject company (companies) and its (their) securities. I have not and will not receive direct or indirect compensation related to the specific recommendations or opinions of this report. Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are analysts for Coker Palmer Institutional (CPI). Coker Palmer Institutional (CPI) is the brand name used to distinguish Coker & Palmer's institutional only, sell side equity research operations.

Important Disclosures

Conflicts of Interest: You should assume that as of the publication date of any report or letter, CPI (possibly along with or through our members, partners, affiliates, employees, and/or consultants) along with our clients and/or investors has a position in the subject companies or derivatives of subject companies mentioned in this report, and therefore stands to realize gains based on the price movements of stocks covered in this report. Following publication of any report or letter, we intend to continue transacting in the securities covered therein, and we may be long, short, or neutral at any time hereafter regardless of our initial recommendation.

Analyst Compensation

Analysts are not directly compensated based on investment banking fees, but analysts' compensation is based on overall firm profitability, which may or may not include investment-banking fees. CPI has not received investment-banking income from the subject companies in the previous 12 months. Investors should expect that Coker & Palmer may seek and may be seeking compensation for investment banking and non-investment banking related services and production from any or all companies mentioned within this report.

Conflicts

Vaibhav (Vebs) Vaishnav, Oilfield Services & Green Energy Analyst, does not hold any stocks from those sectors in his personally managed accounts.

In the normal course of offering investment and banking products and services to clients, CPI may act in several capacities (including issuer, market maker, underwriter and distributor agent) simultaneously with respect to a product, giving rise to potential conflicts of interest. CPI uses controls such as information barriers to manage conflicts should they arise.

CPI has not been involved with any investment banking/consulting activities in the Oilfield Services and/or Green Energy sector in the past six months.

Rating Methodology

Coker Palmer Institutional (CPI) is restarting formal rating systems. The firm has a three-tiered rating system, with ratings of Sector Outperform, Sector Perform, and Sector Underperform. Each Research Analyst assigns a rating that is relative to his or her coverage universe or an index identified by the Research Analyst that includes, but is not limited to, stocks covered by the Research Analyst.

The rating assigned to each security covered in this report is based on the CPI Research Analyst's 12-month view on the security. Research Analysts may sometimes express in research reports shorter-term views on these securities that may impact the price of the equity security in a manner directly counter to the Research Analyst's 12-month view.

Appendix A – Required Disclosures Contd.

These shorter term views are based upon catalysts or events that may have a shorter-term impact on the market price of the equity securities discussed in research reports, including but not limited to the inherent volatility of the marketplace. Any such shorter-term views expressed in research report are distinct from and do not affect the Research Analyst's 12-month view and are clearly noted as such.

Ratings

Sector Outperform (SO)

The stock is expected to outperform the average 12-month total return of the analyst's coverage universe or an index identified by the analyst that includes, but is not limited to, stocks covered by the analyst.

Sector Perform (SP)

The stock is expected to perform approximately in-line with the average 12-month total return of the analyst's coverage universe or an index identified by the analyst that includes, but is not limited to, stocks covered by the analyst.

Sector Underperform (SU)

The stock is expected to underperform the average 12-month total return of the analyst's coverage universe or an index identified by the analyst that includes, but is not limited to, stocks covered by the analyst.

Coker & Palmer Institutional Ratings Distribution

| Category | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sector Outperform | 6 | 43% |
| Sector Perform | 4 | 29% |
| Sector Underperform | 4 | 29% |
| Total | 14 | 100% |

Price Target Methodology:

Coker Palmer Institutional (CPI) price targets are essentially based on DCF methodology.

Valuation/Risk Factors

Oilfield Services (OFS) business is inherently risky. OFS investors should be fully aware of these risks, which include, but are not limited to, volatile natural gas, NGL's and crude oil prices, demand for and competition for a company's product and/or service, asset quality, customer risks, changes in operating costs, company capital structures, operating and working capital needs and ability to raise both debt and equity capital to fund operations. We value OFS equities on many different metrics, including but not limited to, our subjective view as to the quality of management, discounted cash flows, net asset values, enterprise value to EBITDA or cash flow multiples, price to earnings or cash flow multiples, reinvestment risk and full cycle economics. These factors are uncertain and our outlook is subject to change, sometimes quite quickly. Any changes in the above factors can impede achievement of our valuation assessments.

Appendix A – Required Disclosures Contd.

Green Energy businesses are inherently risky. The industry is still at a nascent stage and long-term viability is still not established. Investors should be fully aware of these risks, which include, but are not limited to, viability of new products and markets targeted, volatile commodity prices, demand for and competition for a company's product and/or service, asset quality, customer risks, changes in operating costs, company capital structures, operating and working capital needs and ability to raise both debt and equity capital to fund operations. We value Green Energy equities on many different metrics, including but not limited to, our subjective view as to the quality of management, discounted cash flows, net asset values, enterprise value to EBITDA or cash flow multiples, price to earnings or cash flow multiples, reinvestment risk and full cycle economics. These factors are uncertain and our outlook is subject to change, sometimes quite quickly. Any changes in the above factors can impede achievement of our valuation assessments.

The Exploration and Production (E&P) business is inherently risky. Investors in E&P equities should be fully aware of these risks, which include, but are not limited to, volatile natural gas, NGL's and crude oil prices, regional pricing differences, field and company asset quality, reserve depletion factors, drilling risks, operating costs, company capital structures, operating and working capital needs and ability to raise both debt and equity capital to fund operations. . . E&P Valuation Methods used to determine the Price Target: We value E&P companies on many different metrics, including, but not limited to, our estimate of net asset value (NAV), enterprise value to EBITDA or cash flow multiples, price/earnings or cash flow multiples, discounted cash flow analysis and breakup/acquisition values. All our estimates and valuations are highly and inherently uncertain. They are based on, but not limited to, our outlook for the commodity price, our subjective view as to the quality of management, net asset value, quality of the proven and unproven reserves and resources, ability to develop and produce these reserves/resources, financial strength, cash flow, access to capital, and full cycle economics of investments. These factors are uncertain and our outlook is subject to change, sometimes quite quickly. Any changes in the above factors can impede achievement of our valuation assessments.

Industrials: This category might cover many different types of companies with various business models and various factors affecting the operations and stock prices, some of which include overall economic growth, end market demand, product inventories and competition. Some of these companies might have various energy-related exposure through both sales and/or costs. In general, industrial company risks include, but not limited to, high fixed operating costs, rising input costs, currency and commodity price fluctuations, variable demand, inventory levels, quality of management, competition and obsolescence.

E&P, Oilfield Service, Industrials, Green Energy, as well as investments in the other subsectors we follow are subject to a myriad of external factors, including but not limited to, commodity price risk, geopolitical risk, changes in interest rates, the value of worldwide currencies, especially the U.S. dollar, changing regulations, both domestically and abroad, regulatory enforcement levels, and changes in domestic or global economic fundamentals. Please see specific companies' most recent SEC filings, including 10-Ks, 10-Qs, 8-Ks, and proxy filings for additional risks and considerations. For companies based outside the US, please see country specific regulatory filings for additional risks and considerations.